

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | 1 | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 09/910,966 | 66 07/23/2001 | | Kyle Glenn Cross | STA 0301 PUS | 2911 |
| 22045 | 7590 | 09/17/2003 | | | |
| BROOKS & | | | EXAMI | EXAMINER | |
| 1000 TOWN CENTER TWENTY-SECOND FLOOR | | | | SHEWAREGED, BETELHEM | |
| SOUTHFIE | LD, MI | 48075 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | 1774 | |

DATE MAILED: 09/17/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| · J* | | N |
|--|---|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| Advisory Action | 09/910,966 | CROSS, KYLE GLENN |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Betelhem Shewareged | 1774 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the c ver sheet with the d | correspondence address |
| THE REPLY FILED 28 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whic I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel | ation. A proper reply to a hplaces the application in |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | |
| a) | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai | ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below); |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | elow); | |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or simplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling | ng a corresponding number of fi | inally rejected claims. |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed amendment |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See | | dered but does NOT place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | • |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 24-28. | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 16-23 and 2 | <u>29-33</u> . | • |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a)□ approved or b)□ disapp | roved by the Examiner. |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | <u> </u> |
| 10. Other: | • | • |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | • | |

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claim 24 is dependent upon canceled claim 16, and claim 34 requires further consideration and new search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument is based on that prior art's transfer web comprises a fusible conformable layer. This argument is not persuasive because the claimed invention is not limited to a two- or optionally three-layered transfer material. Since the claimed invention uses an open-ended transitional phrase i.e. "comprising", it does not exclude any additional layer(s). Furthermore, the examiner did not equate prior art's conformable layer with the claimed release layer. The claimed release layer is equivalent to prior art's release layer not prior art's conformable layer. For the above reasons claims 24-28 stand rejected.

CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Cyth Kel